arXiv:1601.07813v1 [math.CO] 28 Jan 2016

Zero-sum 6-flows in 5-regular graphs

Fan Yang*, Xiangwen Li†

26/01/2016

Abstract

Let G be a graph. A zero-sum flow of G is an assignment of non-zero real numbers to the edges of G such that the sum of the values of all edges incident with each vertex is zero. Let k be a natural number. A zero-sum k-flow is a flow with values from the set $\{\pm 1, \ldots, \pm(k-1)\}$. In this paper, we prove that every 5-regular graph admits a zero-sum 6-flow.

1 Introduction

All graphs in this paper are finite and undirected without loops, possibly with parallel edges. A *nowhere-zero k-flow* in a graph with orientation is an assignment of an integer from $\{-(k-1), \ldots, -1, 1, \ldots, (k-1)\}$ to each of its edges such that Kirchhoff's law is respected, that is, the total incoming flow is equal to the total outgoing flow at each vertex. As noted in [7], the existence of a nowhere-zero flow of a graph G is independent of the choice of the orientation. Nowhere-zero flows in graphs were introduced by Tutte[11] in 1949. A great deal of research in the area has been motivated by Tutte's 5-Flow Conjecture which asserts that every 2-edge-connected graph admits a nowhere-zero 5-flow. In 1983, Bouchet[5] generalized this concept to bidirected graphs. A *bidirected graph* G is a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$ such that each edge is oriented as one of the four possibilities in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Orientations of edges in bidirected graph.

An edge with orientation as (a) (respectively, (b)) is called an *in-edge* (respectively, *out-edge*). An edge that is neither an in-edge nor an out-edge is called an *ordinary edge* as in (c) or (d). An integer-valued function f on $E(G)$ is a nowhere-zero bidirected k-flow if for every $e \in E(G)$, $0 < |f(e)| < k$, and at every vertex v, the value of function f in equals the value of function f out. The following conjecture, posed by Bouchet [5] and known as Bouchet's 6-flow conjecture, is one of the most important problems on nowhere-zero integer flows in bidirected graphs.

*Department of Mathematics and Physics, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu 212003, China. Supported by the NSF-China (11326215, 11501256 and 11371009), Corresponding author email: fanyang_just@163.com

†Department of Mathematics, Huazhong Normal University, Wuhan 430079, China. Partially supported by NSF-China Grant (11171129) and by Doctoral Fund of Ministry of Education of China (20130144110001).

Conjecture 1.1. *([5]) If a bidirected graph admits a nowhere-zero k-flow for some positive integer k, then it admits a nowhere-zero 6-flow.*

In [5], Bouchet showed that the value 6 in this conjecture is best possible. Raspaud and Zhu [10] proved that, if a 4-edge-connected bidirected graph admits a nowhere-zero integer flow, then it admits a nowhere-zero 4-flow, which is best possible. Recently, DeVos [6] proved that the result in Bouchet's Conjecture is true if 6 is replaced by 12.

A *zero-sum flow* (also called *nowhere-zero unoriented flow*) in a graph is an assignment of non-zero integers to the edges of G such that the total sum of the assignments of all edges incident with any vertex of G is zero. A zero-sum k-flow in a graph G is a zero-sum flow with flow values from the set $\{\pm 1, \ldots, \pm(k-1)\}$. The following conjecture is known as **Zero-Sum Conjecture**.

Conjecture 1.2. *([1]) If a graph G admits a zero-sum flow, then it admits a zero-sum 6-flow.*

Indeed a zero-sum k-flow in G is exactly a nowhere-zero k-flow in the bidirected graph with underlying graph G such that each edge is an in-edge or out-edge. The following theorem shows the equivalence between Bouchet's Conjecture and Zero-Sum Conjecture.

Theorem 1.3. *([2]) Bouchet's Conjecture and Zero-Sum Conjecture are equivalent.*

There are many results about Zero-Sum Conjecture recently. S. Akbari, A. Daemi, et al. [3] proved that Zero-Sum Conjecture is true for hamiltonian graphs, with 6 replaced by 12. The following conjecture is a stronger version of Zero-Sum Conjecture for regular graphs.

Conjecture 1.4. *([2]) Every r-regular graph ($r \geq 3$) admits a zero-sum 5-flow.*

Motivated by Conjecture 1.4. Akbari et al. [2] proved that every r-regular graph ($r \geq 3$) admits a zero-sum 7-flow. Moreover, Akbari et al. showed that Conjecture 1.4 is true except for 5-regular graph.

Theorem 1.5. *([4]) Every r-regular graph, where $r \geq 3$ and $r \neq 5$, admits a zero-sum 5-flow.*

In this paper we prove the existence of zero-sum 6-flow in 5-regular graph.

Theorem 1.6. *Every 5-regular graph admits a zero-sum 6-flow.*

We will prove this result in Section 3. In Section 2, we introduce a new graph, called cycle-cubic tree, and give a proposition of cycle-cubic tree, which is useful to construct a zero-sum 6-flow in 5-regular graph.

2 Preliminaries

A *cycle* in this paper is meant a connected 2-regular graph. Moreover, an even (or odd) cycle is a cycle with length even (or odd). Two parallel edges form a cycle of length two. A *cubic* graph is a connected 3-regular graph. Let P be a path and $x, y \in V(P)$, denote by $P[x, y]$ the subpath of P between x and y, and $|P|$ the length of P. In this paper, a vertex v can be treated as a trivial path with length 0. Let P_1 and P_2 are two vertex-disjoint paths of G, *a path connecting P_1 and P_2* is a path with all internal vertices outside $P_1 \cup P_2$ between one vertex of P_1 and one vertex of P_2. A *k-factor* of a graph is a k-regular spanning subgraph. In particular, a 2-factor is a disjoint union of cycles that cover all vertices of the graph. For integers a and b, $1 \leq a \leq b$, an *$[a, b]$-factor* of G is defined to be a spanning subgraph F of G such that $a \leq d_F(v) \leq b$ for every $v \in V(G)$, where $d_F(v)$ is the degree of v in F. For any vertex $v \in V(G)$, let $N_G(v) = \{u \in V(G) | uv \in E(G)\}$.

Lemma 2.1. *([8]) Let $r \geq 3$ be an odd integer and k an integer such that $1 \leq k \leq 2r/3$. Then every r-regular graph has a $[k-1,k]$-factor each component of which is regular.*

Next we give a basic lemma of connected graph which will be used in the proof of Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be a connected graph. Then for any even number of distinct vertices of G, say $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{2m}$ ($m \geq 1$), there exist m edge-disjoint paths in G such that the $2m$ end-vertices of these m paths are pairwise distinct and form the set $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{2m}\}$.*

Proof Since G is connected, the result is trivial when $m = 1$.

Assume that the result is true when the even number of vertices is less than $2m$ for $m \geq 2$. Now consider $2m$ distinct vertices $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{2m}$ of G. By induction hypothesis, G contains $m-1$ edge-disjoint paths $P^1, \ldots, P^{m-1}$ such that the $2m-2$ end-vertices of them are pairwise distinct and form the set $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{2m-2}\}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that P^i is a path between u_{2i-1} and u_{2i} for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$. If there exists a path P in G between u_{2m-1} and u_{2m} such that P and P^i are edge-disjoint for each $1 \leq i \leq m-1$, then $P^1, \ldots, P^{m-1}, P$ are desired edge-disjoint paths. Thus we assume that each path of G between u_{2m-1} and u_{2m} has common edges with some paths in $\{P^1, P^2, \ldots, P^{m-1}\}$, so has common vertices with such paths. Choose a path of G between u_{2m-1} and u_{2m}, say P. Let $x, y \in V(P)$, possibly with $x = y$, be the first and last common vertices with $\cup_{i=1}^{m-1} P^i$ when traveling along P from u_{2m-1} to u_{2m}, respectively. If x, y are vertices of the same P^i, say $x, y \in V(P^1)$, then without loss of generality, we assume that $|P^1[u_1, x]| \leq |P^1[u_1, y]|$. In this case, let P_0 be the concatenation of $P^1[u_1, x]$ and $P[x, u_{2m-1}]$, and P_1 the concatenation of $P^1[u_2, y]$ and $P[y, u_{2m}]$. Let $P_j = P^j$ for $2 \leq j \leq m-1$. Clearly, $P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_{m-1}$ are desired edge-disjoint paths.

Thus we assume that x and y are in different P^i for $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m-1\}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $x \in V(P^1)$ and $y \in V(P^2)$. If there exists a subpath of P connecting P^1 and P^2 with all internal vertices outside $\cup_{i=3}^{m-1} P^i$, then without loss of generality, we assume that $P[x_1, y_1]$ is such a subpath with $x_1 \in V(P^1)$, $y_1 \in V(P^2)$, $|P^1[u_1, x]| \leq |P^1[u_1, x_1]|$ and $|P^2[u_3, y_1]| \leq |P^2[u_3, y]|$. In this case, let P_1 be the concatenation of $P^1[u_1, x]$ and $P[x, u_{2m-1}]$, P_2 the concatenation of $P^2[u_4, y]$ and $P[y, u_{2m}]$, and P_m the concatenation of $P^1[x_1, u_2]$, $P[x_1, y_1]$ and $P^2[u_3, y_1]$. Let $P_j = P^j$ for $3 \leq j \leq m-1$. Then $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_m$ are desired edge-disjoint paths. Then there exists no subpath of P connecting P^1 and P^2 with all internal vertices outside $\cup_{i=3}^{m-1} P^i$. This means that any subpath of P connecting P^1 and P^2 has common vertices with $\cup_{i=3}^{m-1} P^i$. Thus P can be decomposed into the union of subpaths of $\{P^1, P^2, \ldots, P^{m-1}\}$ and subpaths connecting any two paths in $\{P^1, P^2, \ldots, P^{m-1}\}$ each with all internal vertices outside $\cup_{i=1}^{m-1} P^i$. Without loss of generality, we can relabel the index of paths in $\{P^3, P^4, \ldots, P^{m-1}\}$ such that there exists a subpath of P connecting P^1 and P^3, a subpath of P connecting P^t and P^2 (where t is an integer in $\{3, \ldots, m-2\}$), a subpath of P conneting P^j and P^{j+1} (for each $3 \leq j \leq t-1$) each with all internal vertices outside $\cup_{i=1}^{m-1} P^i$ when traveling along P from u_{2m-1} to u_{2m}. Let $P[x_j, y_j]$ be such a subpath of P connecting P^j and P^{j+1} such that $x_j \in V(P^j)$ and $y_j \in V(P^{j+1})$ for each $3 \leq j \leq t-1$, $P[x_1, y_1]$ be such a subpath of P connecting P^1 and P^3 such that $x_1 \in V(P^1)$ and $y_1 \in V(P^3)$, $P[x_2, y_2]$ be such a subpath of P connecting P^t and P^2 such that $x_2 \in V(P^t)$ and $y_2 \in V(P^2)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $|P^j[u_{2j-1}, x_j]| \leq |P^j[u_{2j-1}, y_{j-1}]|$ for each $4 \leq j \leq t-1$, $|P^1[u_1, x]| \leq |P^1[u_1, x_1]|$, $|P^2[u_3, y_2]| \leq |P^2[u_3, y]|$ and $|P^3[u_5, x_3]| \leq |P^3[u_5, y_1]|$. In this case, let P_0 be the concatenation of $P^1[u_1, x]$ and $P[x, u_{2m-1}]$; P_1 the concatenation of $P^1[u_2, x_1]$, $P[x_1, y_1]$ and $P^3[u_6, y_1]$; P_2 the concatenation of $P^2[u_4, y]$ and $P[y, u_{2m}]$; P_t the concatenation of $P^t[u_{2t-1}, x_2]$, $P[x_2, y_2]$ and

$P^2[u_3, y_2]$; P_j the concatenation of $P^j[u_{2j-1}, x_j]$, $P[x_j, y_j]$ and $P^{j+1}[y_j, u_{2j+2}]$ for $3 \le j \le t-1$. Let $P_j = P^j$ for $t+1 \le j \le m-1$. Then $P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_{m-1}, P_m$ are desired edge-disjoint paths.□

For disjoint subsets X, Y of $V(G)$, denote by $E_G(X, Y)$ the set of edges of G with one vertex in X and the other in Y. If H and K are vertex-disjoint subgraphs of G, then we use $E_G(H, K)$ in place of $E_G(V(H), V(K))$. Given a subgraph H of G, we use G/H to denote the graph obtained from G by contracting all edges in H and deleting the resultant loops.

Definition 2.3. A graph G is called a *cycle-cubic tree* relative to a family $\mathcal{R} = \{R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_t\}$, the size of this family $\mathcal{R}$ is t, of pairwise vertex-disjoint connected graphs $R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_t$ of G if the following conditions are satisfied:

(a) R_i is a cycle or a cubic graph and $V(R_1) \cup \ldots \cup V(R_t) = V(G)$;

(b) the graph $G_{\mathcal{R}}$ $(= G/(R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \ldots \cup R_t))$ obtained from G by identifying all vertices in each R_i to form a single vertex v_{R_i}, $1 \le i \le t$, is a tree;

(c) the degree of v_{R_i} in $G_{\mathcal{R}}$ is even (respectively, odd) if and only if R_i is an even cycle or a cubic graph (respectively, an odd cycle).

By Definition 2.3, $d_G(v) \ge 2$ for each vertex $v \in V(G)$, and if $t = 1$, then G is an even cycle or a cubic graph. Next we prove the existence of a special function for cycle-cubic trees which is crucial to the study of zero-sum 6-flows in 5-regular graphs.

Lemma 2.4. *Every cycle-cubic tree G relative to a family $\mathcal{R} = \{R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_t\}$ with maximum degree at most 5 admits a function $f : E(G) \to Z$ such that*

$$f(e) = \begin{cases} 4 \text{ or } 5, & \text{if } e \in E(R_i) \text{ and } R_i \text{ is an edge of a cycle,} \\ 1, 2 \text{ or } 3, & \text{if } e \in E(R_i) \text{ and } R_i \text{ is an edge of a cubic graph,} \\ -2 \text{ or } -4, & \text{if } e \text{ is an edge outside } \cup_{i=1}^{t} R_i. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

and for each vertex $u \in V(G)$,

$$\Sigma_{v \in N_G(u)} f(uv) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } d_G(u) = 5, \\ 3, & \text{if } d_G(u) = 4, \\ 6, & \text{if } d_G(u) = 3, \\ 9, & \text{if } d_G(u) = 2. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Proof By Definition 2.3 (b), G is connected. If $t = 1$, then $G \cong R_1$ and R_1 is an even cycle or a cubic graph by Definition 2.3 (c). If R_1 is an even cycle, then define $f : E(G) \to \{4, 5\}$ such that $4, 5, \ldots, 4, 5$ occur alternatively on the cycle with a chosen orientation. Clearly, f is a function satisfying (1) and (2). If R_1 is a cubic graph, then define $f : E(G) \to \{2\}$. Clearly, f also satisfies (1) and (2).

Assume that the result is true when the size of $\mathcal{R}$ less than t for some $t \ge 2$. Next consider a cycle-cubic tree G relative to $\mathcal{R} = \{R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_t\}$. By Definition 2.3, $\mathcal{R}$ contains at least two odd cycles. Since $G/\mathcal{R}$ is a tree, we may choose an odd cycle, say R_1, such that $d_{G_{\mathcal{R}}}(v_{R_1}) = 1$. We assume that the neighbor of v_{R_1} in $G_{\mathcal{R}}$ is v_{R_2} and $v_1u_1 \in E_G(R_1, R_2)$, where $v_1 \in V(R_1)$ and $u_1 \in V(R_2)$. Since the maximum degree of G is at most 5, $1 \le |E_G(u_1, V(G) - V(R_2))| \le 3$.

Case 1. $|E_G(u_1, V(G) - V(R_2))| = 1$.

Subcase 1.1. R_2 is a cycle.

In this case, $d_G(u_1) = 3$ and u_1 has two neighbors in R_2, say u_{11} and u_{12}. Deleting $V(R_1) \cup \{u_1\}$, and then adding the edge $u_{11}u_{12}$ from G, we obtain a cycle-cubic tree G' relative to $\mathcal{R}' = \{R'_2, R_3 \ldots, R_t\}$, where R'_2 is a cycle with $|R'_2| = |R_2| - 1$. By the induction hypothesis, G' has a function f' satisfying (1) and (2).

Let $f'(u_{11}u_{12}) = a$. By (1), $a \in \{4, 5\}$. Define $f(u_1u_{11}) = f(u_1u_{12}) = a$ and $f(u_1v_1) = 6 - 2a \in \{-2, -4\}$. Then $f(v_1u_1) + f(u_1u_{11}) + f(u_1u_{12}) = a + a + (6 - 2a) = 6$, u_1 satisfies (2). If $a = 4$, then label the edges of R_1 with 4 and 5 alternatively in a chosen direction starting with an edge incident with v_1. If $a = 5$, then label the edges of R_1 with 5 and 4 alternatively in a chosen direction starting with an edge incident with v_1. In either case, let $f(e) = f'(e)$ for all other edges of G. We can deduce that f is a function of G satisfying (1) and (2).

Subcase 1.2. R_2 is a cubic graph.

By Definition 2.3 (c), $|E_G(R_2, G - V(R_2))|$ is even. Since the maximum degree of G is at most 5, $0 \leq |E_G(u, V(G) - V(R_2))| \leq 2$ for each vertex $u \in V(R_2)$. Then the number of vertex u of R_2 with $|E_G(u, V(G) - V(R_2))| = 1$ is even. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{2m}$ are all vertices with $|E_G(u_i, V(G) - V(R_2))| = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2m$ and if there exists vertex u such that $|E_G(u, V(G) - V(R_2))| = 2$, then let $u_{2m+1}, \ldots, u_{2m+k}$ are all vertices with $|E_G(u_{2m+i}, V(G) - V(R_2))| = 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. By Lemma 2.2, we can find m edge-disjoint paths in R_2 such that the $2m$ end-vertices of them are pairwise distinct and constitute the set $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{2m}\}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that P_1, P_2, …, P_m are such paths in R_2, where P_i connects u_{2i-1} and u_{2i}. Let $P_{k+i} : u_{2m+i}$ be the trivial path in R_2 for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then $P_1, \ldots, P_{m+k}$ are edge-disjoint paths in R_2. Next we construct a new graph G' from G by the following steps:

Step 1. Delete all vertices of R_2 except vertices $\{u_1, \ldots, u_{2m}, u_{2m+1}, \ldots, u_{2m+k}\}$ and delete all edges in R_2;

Step 2. Add two parallel edges $u_{2i-1}u_{2i}$ if $|P_i|$ is odd; add two 2-paths $u_{2i-1}x_iu_{2i}$ and $u_{2i-1}y_iu_{2i}$ if $|P_i|$ is even for $1 \leq i \leq m$;

Step 3. Split each u_{2m+i} into two vertices w_{2m+i} and z_{2m+i} for $1 \leq i \leq k$ such that one edge of $E_G(u_{2m+i}, V(G) - V(R_2)))$ is incident with w_{2m+i}, the other edge of $E_G(u_{2m+i}, V(G) - V(R_2)))$ is incident with z_{2m+i};

Step 4. Add two 2-paths $w_{2m+i}x^{2m+i}z_{2m+i}$ and $w_{2m+i}y^{2m+i}z_{2m+i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

By Steps 1-4, we get a graph G' which is a union of cycle-cubic trees each with smaller number of cycles and cubic graphs. By the induction hypothesis, there is a function f' of G' satisfying (1) and (2). Next we observe values under f' of edges which are corresponding edges of $E_G(R_2, G - V(R_2))$.

Without loss of generality, we assume that $u_iv_i \in E_G(R_2, G - V(R_2))$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2m$ and $u_{2m+j}v_{2m+j}, u_{2m+j}v^*_{2m+j} \in E_G(R_2, G - V(R_2))$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $w_{2m+j}v_{2m+j}$ and $z_{2m+j}v^*_{2m+j}$ are edges of G' for each $1 \leq j \leq k$. By (1), $f'(u_iv_i), f'(w_jv_{2m+j})$ and $f'(z_jv^*_{2m+j}) \in \{-4, -2\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2m$, $1 \leq j \leq k$. If $|P_i|$ is odd, then P_i must be path in $\{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_m\}$ and the two parallel edges $u_{2i-1}u_{2i}$ with equal values a under f', where $a \in \{4, 5\}$ by (1), (2). Then in this case $f'(u_{2i-1}v_{2i-1}) = f'(u_{2i}v_{2i})$. If $|P_i|$ is even, then when $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$, $f'(u_{2i-1}x_i) = f'(u_{2i-1}y_i) = a$ and $f'(u_{2i}x_i) = f'(u_{2i}y_i) = b$, and when $i \in \{2m + 1, \ldots, 2m + k\}$, $f'(w_ix^i) = f'(w_iy^i) = a$ and $f'(z_ix^i) = f'(z_iy^i) = b$, where $\{a, b\} = \{4, 5\}$ by (1), (2). Then in this case, $f'(u_{2i-1}v_{2i-1}) \neq f'(u_{2i}v_{2i})$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $f'(w_iv_i) \neq f'(z_iv^*_i)$ for $2m + 1 \leq i \leq 2m + k$.

Next we define a function of G based on f'. If $f'(u_{2i-1}v_{2i-1}) = -2$ (or -4) for each $1 \leq i \leq m$, then we label $E(P_i)$ with $1, 3, 1, 3, \ldots$ (or $3, 1, 3, 1, \ldots$) alternatively starting with the edge incident with u_{2i-1}. Then let $f(e) = 2$ for each $e \in E(R_2) - \cup_{i=1}^{m} E(P_i)$, $f(u_{2m+i}v_{2m+i}) =$

$f'(w_{2m+i}v_{2m+i})$, $f(u_{2m+i}v^*_{2m+i}) = f'(z_{2m+i}v^*_{2m+i})$ and $f(e) = f'(e)$ for all other edges $e \in E(G) - E(R_2)$. Then we can deduce that f is a desired function of G satisfying (1) and (2) since $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_m$ are pairwise edge-disjoint paths.

Case 2. $|E_G(u_1, V(G) - V(R_2))| \geq 2$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $u_1v_1, u_1v_2 \in E_G(u_1, V(G) - V(R_2))$ with $v_1 \in V(R_1)$. Then v_2 is a vertex of R_i for some $i \neq 1, 2$. We know that u_1 is a vertex-cut of G. Let G_1 and G_2 be edge-disjoint subgraphs of G such that $G_1 \cup G_2 = G$ and $V(G_1) \cap V(G_2) = \{u_1\}$, $u_1v_1, u_1v_2 \in E(G_1)$ and the other edges incident with u_1 are in G_2. Constructing a graph G'_1 from G_1 by replacing u_1 with a 4-cycle $xyzwx$ and replacing u_1v_1, u_1v_2 by v_1x, v_2z, respectively. Clearly, G'_1 and G_2 are cycle-cubic tree each relative to a smaller number of cycles and cubic graphs. By the induction hypothesis, G'_1 admits a desired function f_1 on $E(G'_1)$ and G_2 admits a desired function f_2 on $E(G_2)$, both satisfying (1) and (2). By the similar discussion in Subcase 1.2, $f_1(v_1x) \neq f_1(v_2z) \in \{-2, -4\}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $f_1(v_1x) = -2$ and $f_1(v_2z) = -4$ by (1) and (2). In this case, we define f on G such that $f(u_1v_1) = f_1(v_1x)$, $f(u_1v_2) = f_1(v_2z)$, and for all other edges e of G, let $f(e) = f_i(e)$ if $e \in E(G_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Clearly, f satisfies (1). We only need to prove that for vertex u_1, (2) satisfies. Obviously, $d_G(u_1) = d_{G_2}(u_1)+2$ and $\Sigma_{v\in N_G(u_1)}f(u_1v) = \Sigma_{v\in N_{G_2}(u_1)}f_2(u_1v)+(-2-4) = \Sigma_{v\in N_{G_2}(u_1)}f_2(u_1v)-6$. Then when $d_{G_2}(u_1) = 2$ (or 3), then $d_G(u_1) = 4$ (or 5) and $\Sigma_{v\in N_G(u_1)}f(u_1v) = 9 - 6 = 3$ (or $= 6 - 6 = 0$), which satisfies (2). Thus f is a desired function on G satisfying (1) and (2). □

3 Proof of Lemma 1.6

Let G be a graph and T a subset of $V(G)$ with even cardinality. A set $J \subseteq E(G)$ is called a *T-join* [9] in G if for any $v \in V(G)$, v is incident with an odd number of edges in J if and only if $v \in T$. We also call the subgraph induced by J a T-join.

Lemma 3.1. *([9, Proposition 12.6]) Let G be a graph and $T \subseteq V(G)$ with $|T|$ even. There exists a T-join in G if and only if $|V(Q) \cap T|$ is even for each connected component Q of G.*

Proof of Theorem 1.6 Let G be a 5-regular graph. Without loss of generality, we may assume that G is connected. If G contains a 2-factor, say G_1, then $G_2 = G - E(G_1)$ is a 3-factor of G. Define $f(e) = 3$ for each $e \in E(G_1)$ and $f(e) = -2$ for each $e \in E(G_2)$. Thus f is a zero-sum 4-flow of G, so is a zero-sum 6-flow of G.

Now we assume that G does not contain a 2-factor or a 3-factor. By Lemma 2.1, G has a $[2, 3]$-factor each component of which is regular. We may assume that $H = H_1 \cup H_2$ is the $[2, 3]$-factor of G such that H_1 is 2-regular and H_2 is 3-regular. Clearly, H_1 is a union of cycles. If H_1 contains no odd cycles, then we can label the edges of H_1 by 4 and 5, alternatively. This labeling defines a function f_1 on $E(H_1)$. Then for every $u \in V(H_1)$, $\Sigma_{v\in N_{H_1}(u)}f_1(uv) = 9$. Define $f_2(e) = 2$ for each $e \in E(H_2)$. Then f_2 is a function on $E(H_2)$ such that for every $u \in V(H_2)$, $\Sigma_{v\in N_{H_2}(u)}f_2(uv) = 6$. Define $f(e) = f_1(e)$ for each $e \in E(H_1)$; $f(e) = f_2(e)$ for each $e \in E(H_2)$, and $f(e) = -3$ for each $e \in E(G)\backslash E(H)$. Then f is a zero-sum 6-flow of G.

It remains to consider the case where H_1 contains odd cycles. Since G is 5-regular and H_2 is 3-regular, $|V(G)|$ and $|V(H_2)|$ are even. Thus the number of odd cycles of H_1 is even. Let $\{C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_{2m}\}$ be the family of odd cycles in H_1, where $m \geq 1$. Since G is connected, so is G/H. Since H is a spanning subgraph of G, each vertex of G/H is a contracted vertex. Let $T = \{v_{C_1}, v_{C_2}, \ldots, v_{C_{2m}}\}$. By Lemma 3.1, there exists a T-join in G/H such that each vertex in T is odd. Deleting recursively all edges on a cycle when necessary, we obtain a T-join in

G/H that has no cycle. Replacing each vertex v in such T-join by the corresponding contracted connected component of H, we obtain a union of cycle-cubic trees of G, say M, relative to some connected components of H. We know that M contains all $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_{2m}$. If M does not contain all connected components of H, then adding the connected components of H to M until all connected components of H are contained in M. This new graph is also a union of cycle-cubic trees, denoted by M. By Lemma 2.4, each connected component of M has a function satisfying (1) and (2). The union of these functions, denoted by f', also satisfies (1) and (2). For each edge outside M, define $f(e) = -3$ and let $f(e) = f'(e)$ if $e \in M$. One can easily verify that f is a zero-sum 6-flow of G. □

4 Acknowledgements

Author appreciates Sanming Zhou for his valuable comments and advisements. Moreover, author acknowledges the hospitality of School of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of Melbourne during her visit while the research on this work was conducted.

References

[1] S. Akbari, G. B. Khosrovshahi, A. Mahmoody and N. Ghareghani, On zero-sum 6-flows of graphs, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 430 (2009), 3047–3052.

[2] S. Akbari, A. Daemi, O. Hatami, A. Javanmard and A. Mehrabian, Zero-sum flows in regular graphs, *Graphs Combin.* 26 (2010), 603–615.

[3] S. Akbari, A. Daemi, O. Hatami, A. Javanmard and A. Mehrabian, Nowhere-zero unoriented flows in Hamiltionian graphs, *Ars Combinatoria* 120 (2015), 51–63.

[4] S. Akbari, N. Ghareghani, G. B. Khosrovshahi, S. Zare, A note on zero-sum 5-flows in regular graphs, *the electronic journal of combinatorics* **19** (2) (2012), ♯P7.

[5] A. Bouchet, Nowhere-zero integeral flows on a bidirected graph, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **34** (1983) 279–292.

[6] M. DeVos, Flows in bidirected graphs, preprint.

[7] F. Jaeger, Nowhere-zero flow problems, In: Topics in graph theory, 3 (L. W. Beineke and R. J. Wilson, editors), Academic Press, London (1988), 7095.

[8] M. Kano, Factors of regular graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **41** (1986), 27-36.

[9] B. Korte and J. Vygen, Combinatorial Optimization: Theory and Algorithms, Springer, Berlin, 2006.

[10] A. Raspaud and X. Zhu, Circular flow on signed graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **101** (2011) 464–479.

[11] W.T. Tutte, On the imbedding of linear graphs in surfaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc. Ser 2* 51 (1949), 46–83.